

Dear Paul,

1/24/80

Thanks for your 1/20 and enclosures. On the index, I have 1-12, inc. and Leg and Adm, I.

On Hal, he's been that way with me. I suppose he's embarrassed. Especially now that there is no doubt that Kaitze (at least) was an informant, local police and FBI.

On your 11/20 list I'll appreciate 3,4 and 8 1/2 on page 1 and 20 and 24 on p. 2.

1/1/80: 10,12 and you've had nothing on the conclusion of the Pena trial.

1/13: 15 and the Pentagon letter (p. 2)

Your comment on DJ taking on Mafia re JFK: as I've asked before how many more roads do those incapable of any elemental analysis have to pave? What a chance for DJ to get off the hook.

Your ref to Blakey's alleged sensitivity to civil liberties is a combination of hope and prejudice but is separate from fact, all of which is the other way. How could you say this when you at the same time report how he roughed up John Ray's?

As with almost all else, the HSCA did not "uncover" the Army's alleged destruction of its assassination records. I did and when it appeared appropriate gave the item to the Anderson column, which published it long ago.

Re AIB demise: what happened to their extra slides? Jeff never responded when I asked him about some, which was when you, not they, let me know they had them.

I don't think it is as open and shut as you do re acoustics. The limitations on the initial testing and the overt corruption on the medical evidence, which can be misused to go along with that, plus the major voids, as no real investigation, only of the oddest theories, plus the total lack of an record that can be used, provides too much means of bypassing everything. On the King end the dishonesty is unhidden. The only reason those characters demanded that DJ do something was to cover themselves. In their best and most ethical moments they were nincompoops. And not often were they that good.

Thanks and best,